PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference BET04L0011	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/FR2004/000245	03.02.2004	04.02.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nation								
G05B 19/414, B25J 9/16								
Applicant STAUBLI FAVERGES								
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:						
sheets of the descrip	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative							
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental								
Box.								
b (sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and no	umber of electronic carrier(s))						
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain doc	ruments cited							
Box No. VII Certain def	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
Box No. VIII Certain obs	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	of this report						
24-11-2004		25-02-2005						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Authorized officer							
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.							

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/000245

Box	No. I		Basis of the report		
1.	1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		This re	eport is based on translations from the original language is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo	ge into the following languageoses of:	,
			international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
			publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
	****		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o		
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
		the int	ernational application as originally filed/furnished		
	M	the de	scription:		
		pages	1-9		as originally filed/furnished
		pages'		received by this Authority on	
		pages		received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the cla	iims:		
		nos.	2-6, 8-12		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*	1, 7, 13		24.11.2004 with the letter submitted on 22.11.2004
		nos.*			
	\boxtimes	the dr	awings:		
		sheets	1/1		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets			us originary most arms not
		sheets		•	
		a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme		
3.			mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
		1 1	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	\boxtimes	This 1	report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	ments annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made since
			the description, pages		
		\boxtimes	the claims, nos. 1, 7		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite		plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000245

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-13 Claims				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-13 Claims	_ YES			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-13 Claims	_ YES _ NO			
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 7	70.7)				
		resent report, reference is made to the documents:				
		794 513 A (MUELLER PETER) 27 December (1988-12-27);				
.		091 219 A (INAGAKI TAKAMITSU ET AL) 18 2000 (2000-07-18);				
		0 881 551 (KUKA ROBOTER GMBH) 2 December (1998-12-02).				
	prior art	D2, which is considered to be the closest c, describes (the references between ses apply to said document):				
	electr 1) as • a cont applic 3; col	i-axis robot comprising an arm and ic motors (column 3, lines 29-42; figure well as a control system including: roller as defined in claim 1 of the ation ("robot control driver 22", figure umn 3, line 43 to column 4, line 3); for interconnecting said arm, the power				

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

module and the unit, which means at least enable power to be supplied to said motors from said module (figure 3, "45", lines between modules 32-40, 46 and 22),

wherein:

- said interconnecting means include a single structural bus ("serial communication path 45", figure 3) for the connection of a control unit ("rotary encoder I/F 46", column 3, line 58) associated with said computing and processing unit ("CPU 32"); and
- there is at least one digital interface ("communication circuit 49", column 5, lines 22-30) with at least one position sensor.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D2 in that claim 1 defines a "single functional bus" whereas D2 does not describe the bus.

The problems that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be those of:

 providing an alternative, which is more straightforward and effective than the one in D2, for improving the transfer of control and feedback signals as well as simplifying the production of the system.

D1, D2 and D3 propose the use of one bus for the sensors and/or another bus for the power modules. None of these documents describes a "single

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000245

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

functional bus", as defined in claim 1 of the application, that solves the stated problem in such a straightforward and effective manner.

What is more, none of said documents describes the possibility of directly exchanging data between the sensors and the power modules via a single bus without any intervention from a computing and processing unit. This possibility is defined in claim 1 and in the description of the application (page 2, lines 13-19).

It follows that the subject matter of claim 1 is novel and inventive and also fulfils the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1).

3. The application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 6 because claims 1 and 7 are not clear.

The expression "in the close vicinity thereof" in claims 1 and 7 as originally filed does not have a well-established meaning in the context of the application and casts doubt on the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers. It follows that the subject matter of said claims has not been defined clearly (PCT Article 6). It would be possible to obviate this objection if the expression "in the close vicinity thereof" were replaced with "positioned at the end of said arm", as defined in claim 13.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/000245

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Box I

In the new set of claims, the following features have been omitted from claims 1 and 7:

"said interface is integrated into said arm or is located in the close vicinity thereof".

As a result of this omission, the claims define a "digital interface (14)" that could be integrated into the arm or even into the controller (C). Since the integration of said interface into the controller was not described in the description and the claims as originally filed, claims 1 and 7 do not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 34(2)(b).

The present opinion with regard to novelty and inventive step has been established as if the aforementioned omission did not exist.